



Sh. Jasbir Singh (9888296107)

Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
P.O. Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council,
Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali

First Appellate Authority

O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council,
Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 556 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Sh. Jasbir Singh, the appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Jaswinder Singh (Draftsman) (8295271122)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.08.2020 vide which, respondent PIO was consecutively absent second time without any intimation to the Commission, which shows irresponsible behavior of the respondent PIO. Show Cause notice was issued to respondent, PIO, Sh, Sanjeet Kumar (Contact Number 9646060901), Office of Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Tehsil Kharar, District Mohali. A copy of previous order be was sent to him through registered post for his ready reference. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Jaswinder Singh states that at present data is not available on the official website as per requisite information. He added that work in progress manually in this regard. He further states that reply has already been sent to the appellant through registered post on 15.10.2020 and reply was also sent via whatsapp on appellant's whatsapp number.
3. On this, appellant, Sh. Jasbir Singh states that till date he has not received information through registry and on whatsapp is not readable.
4. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, It is observed that a reply to the Show Cause which was issued to Sh. Sanjeet Kumar has been received in the Commission vide diary no. 14228 dated 19.10.2020 is satisfactory and show cause is dropped. It is also observed that supplied reply is sufficient and no further cause of action is required. On the assurance of the respondent, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh. Satinder Pal Singh (9417265263)

Near ADC Office, Ferozepur Road,
Jagraon, District Ludhiana-142026

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director General of Police,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 785 of 2020

Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: Sh. Purshotam Kumar (ASI) (9417131510).

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.08.2020 vide which, appellant, Sh. Satinder Pal Singh stated that information has not been supplied to him relates with point no. 1 and 2.

Respondent, Sh. Purshotam Kumar joined meeting through CISCO WEBEX after the appellant left the meeting. He stated that requisite information relates with point no. 1 comprising of 15 pages which is ready with him but information relates with point no. 2 comprising of **1001082 pages (Ten lakh one thousand eight two pages)**.

Respondent, Sh. Purshotam Kumar was directed to send the ready information relates with point no.1 to the appellant through registered post before the next date of hearing. He was also directed to appear in person and to join meeting on time on the next date of hearing to represent this case.

It was observed that required information relates with point no. 2 is voluminous and as per Supreme Court of India in order in Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) & Anr vs Aditya Bandhopadhyay & others in 2011, the supreme court said:-

"The nation does not want a scenario where 75% of the staff of public authorities spends 75% of their time in collecting and furnishing information to applicants instead of discharging their regular duties. The threat of penalties under the RTI Act and the pressure of the authorities under the RTI Act should not lead to employees of a public authorities prioritising 'information furnishing', at the cost of their normal and regular duties".

Appellant, Sh. Satinder Pal Singh was advised to go through the information once, he had received from the respondent PIO in connection with point no. 1 and point out, if any deficiency, in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission. He is also advised to appear in person on the next date of hearing to clear the facts regarding point no. 2, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis.

A copy of previous order was sent to the parties through registered post for their ready reference. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Purshotam Kumar states that information relates with point no. 1 was sent to the appellant through registered post on 28.09.2020 as per the previous order of the Commission dated 31.08.2020.

3. Neither the appellant, Sh. Satinder Pal Singh is present nor did he file reply in this regard. A copy of previous order dated 31.08.2020 was sent to him through registered post to represent this case to clear the facts regarding point no. 2 but he failed to do so.

4. In wake of above no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurinder Singh (6239871477)

S/o Sh. Harnek Singh
R/o Bhagta Bhai, Tehsil Phul,
District Bathinda

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o ADGP, NRI Wing,
Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o ADGP, NRI Wing,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 842 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: ASI, Mohinder Singh (9988390326).

ORDER

1. his order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 31.08.2020 vide which appellant, Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur stated that required information is still pending in this case and requested to supply the information. Respondent PIO, Sh. IqbalPreet Singh Randhawa stated that requisite information was already been supplied to the appellant on 09.07.2020 and appellant could collect the required information again from the respondent's office today.

Appellant was advised to collect the required information from the respondent's office today along with advice to go through the information and point out deficiency, in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to the Commission as per queries raised by her in RTI application before the next date of hearing. She was also advised to represent this case on the next date of hearing, failing to which case will be decided on merit basis. Show cause was dropped, which was issued to Sh. IqbalPreet Singh Randhawa vide order dated Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, ASI, Mohinder Singh states that requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant.

3. Appellant, Sh. Gurinder Singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 23.09.2020 was received by the undersigned bench from the appellant stating that he has received the requisite information and does not want to pursue this case further. This email is taken on record.

4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**

5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Rohtaas,
S/o Sh. Atma Ram, R/o Padhana,
TehsilNeeliKheri, DisttKarnala,
Haryana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SSP, Mohali.

Public Information Officer
O/o Deputy Superintendent of Police,
Derabassi, SAS Nagar

First Appellate Authority
O/o SSP, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 546 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: SI, Gagandeep (9592299061) along with Sh. Nathi Ram (ASI)
(9463844213)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.08.2020 vide which respondent, Sh. Sh. Nathi Ram requested for an adjournment in this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, Respondent, SI, Gagandeep states that requisite information has already been received by the Sh. Pankaj (Son of the appellant) dated 12.10.2020.
3. Appellant, Sh. Rohtaas is not present for today's hearing but a call was made to him by the undersigned bench to confirm whether he has received requisite information or not. On this, Sh. Rohtaas intimated the undersigned bench that Sh. Pankaj collected the information and has no objection to close this case.
4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. On the assurance of the respondent, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner



Sh.Rohtaas,
S/o Sh. Atma Ram, R/o Padhana,
TehsilNeeliKheri, Distt Karnala,
Haryana.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DSP, Mubarakpur. Distt. Mohali.

First Appellate Authority
O/o SSP, Mohali.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 547 of 2020
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: Nobody on behalf of the appellant.
For the respondent: SI, Gagandeep (9592299061) along with Sh. Nathi Ram (ASI)
(9463844213)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 11.08.2020 vide which respondent, Sh. Sh. Nathi Ram requested for an adjournment in this case. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 20.10.2020 through CISCO WEBEX i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, Respondent, SI, Gagandeep states that requisite information has already been received by the Sh. Pankaj (Son of the appellant) dated 12.10.2020.
3. Appellant, Sh. Rohtaas is not present for today's hearing but a call was made to him by the undersigned bench to confirm whether he has received requisite information or not. On this, Sh. Rohtaas intimated the undersigned bench that Sh. Pankaj collected the information and has no objection to close this case.
4. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. On the assurance of the respondent, the instant appeal case is **disposed of closed**.
5. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 20.10.2020

(Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner